ORDER-1

# 

07-CR-00218-INDI

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

 $\mathbf{V}$ 

JAMES JEREMY BRISCOE,

Defendant.

NO. CR07-218RSL

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL AND REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY SENTENCE

### I. INTRODUCTION

This matter comes before the Court on *pro se* petitioner's "Motion for Extension of Time to File Notice of Appeal, Intention of Appeal, and Request for Assistance in Post-Conviction Process" (Dkt. #104) and "Motion to Clarify and Make Distinct Sentence and Finalize Order of Sentence" (Dkt. #105). For the following reasons, the Court DENIES petitioner's motion to extend and attaches its "Statement of Reasons," signed December 20, 2007 in response to petitioner's motion for clarification.

#### II. DISCUSSION

On September 13, 2007, defendant Briscoe, represented by counsel, signed a plea agreement (Dkt. #62) pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, wherein he pled guilty to conspiracy to distribute crack cocaine. Two years later, defendant now moves *pro se* for an extension of time to appeal his sentence and to clarify his sentence. Defendant's motions and requests for clarification are answered by the terms of his plea agreement.

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Pursuant to the agreement, Briscoe waived any right conferred by 18 U.S.C. § 3742 to appeal his sentence, provided the Court imposed a sentence within or below the agreed sentencing guideline range. See Dkt. #62 at 7. The Court sentenced Briscoe to 144 months in custody, well below the 188 – 235 month guideline for the stipulated offense level of 31 (reflecting a stipulated offense level of 34 adjusted 3 points downward for acceptance of responsibility). As such, defendant's waiver of appeal is binding. See, e.g., U.S. v. Baramdyka, 95 F.3d 840, 843 -844 (9th Cir. 1996). It appears from the agreement, however, that defendant did not waive the right to collaterally attack his conviction on the basis of ineffective assistance of counsel. See Dkt. #62 at 7. Defendant's motion (Dkt. #104) indicates he wishes to pursue such a claim.

### **Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Motion**

An ineffective assistance of counsel claim can be brought as a federal habeas corpus claim through a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion to the district court where judgment was entered, but generally only within one year of entry of final judgment. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)(1). The Supreme Court has held that "for federal criminal defendants who do not file a petition for certiorari with this Court on direct review, § 2255's one-year limitation period starts to run when the time for seeking such review expires." Clay v. United States, 537 U.S. 522, 532 (2003). A federal criminal defendant has ten days after "entry" of the judgment being appealed to file a notice of appeal. Fed. R. App. P. 4(b). Defendant did not file a notice of appeal. He now moves the Court for an extension nearly two years after final judgment was entered on December 14, 2007. As such, defendant's proposed motion is untimely. The Court finds no extraordinary circumstances to justify equitably tolling § 2255's statute of limitations.

Accordingly, defendant's motion for an extension is DENIED.

#### **Motion for Clarification** В.

Defendant moves under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c)(2) to "clarify" his sentence and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The plea agreement reads in part, "If Defendant breaches this Plea Agreement at any time by appealing or collaterally attacking (except as to effectiveness of legal representation) the conviction or sentence in any way, the United States may prosecute defendant for any counts . . . that were dismissed or not charged pursuant to this Plea Agreement." Dkt. #62 at 7. ORDER-2

reasoning behind it. As stated above, in its sentence the Court departed downwardly from the 1 recommended guidelines for the parties' stipulated offense level of 31. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c)(2) 2 provides: 3 (c) Statement of reasons for imposing a sentence.--The court, at the time of 4 sentencing, shall state in open court the reasons for its imposition of the particular sentence, and, if the sentence--5 (1) is of the kind, and within the range, described in subsection (a)(4) and that 6 range exceeds 24 months, the reason for imposing a sentence at a particular point within the range: or 7 (2) is not of the kind, or is outside the range, described in subsection (a)(4), the 8 specific reason for the imposition of a sentence different from that described, which reasons must also be stated with specificity in the written order of judgment 9 and commitment, except to the extent that the court relies upon statements received in camera in accordance with Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32. In the event 10 that the court relies upon statements received in camera in accordance with Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32 the court shall state that such statements were so 11 received and that it relied upon the content of such statements. 12 In the "Statement of Reasons" accompanying this Order, the Court noted these reasons for 13 departing downwardly from the recommended sentencing range: 14 The Court considered the personal history of the defendant, and the fact that he was 18 years old at the time he committed the first of his two offenses that caused him 15 to be a career offender. The Court was also mindful of the nature of crack cocaine sentencing, even after recent changes to the guidelines manual. 16 See "Statement of Reasons," attached to this Order. To the extent Mr. Briscoe requires more 17 clarification, he may refer to the attached "Statement of Reasons." 18 III. CONCLUSION 19 For the foregoing reasons, the Court DENIES petitioner's motion to extend (Dkt. #104) 20 and attaches its "Statement of Reasons," signed December 20, 2007 in response to petitioner's 21 motion for clarification (Dkt. #105). 22 DATED this 20 day of January, 2010. 23 24 United States District Judge 25 26 27

ORDER- 3

28

AU 243B (IKeV. 05/03) Criminal Judgment
Attachment (Page 1) — Statement of Re

DEFENDANT:

JAMES JEREMY BRISCOE

CASE NUMBER:

CR07-00218RSL

DISTRICT:

П

Ħ

Western District of Washington

### STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

1	COURT FINDINGS	ON PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION I	REPORT

Α	☒	The court adopts the presentence investigation report without change.							
В		The court adopts the presentence investigation report with the following changes.							
		(Check all that apply and specify court determination, findings, or comments, referencing paragraph numbers in the presentence report, if applicable.) (Use page 4 if necessary.)							
	1	Chapter Two of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to base offense level, or specific offense characteristics):							
	2	Chapter Three of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to victim-related adjustments, role in the offense, obstruction of justice, multiple counts, or acceptance of responsibility):							
	3	Chapter Four of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to criminal history category or scores, career offender, or criminal livelihood determinations):							
	4	Additional Comments or Findings (including comments or factual findings concerning certain information in the presentence report that the Federal Bureau of Prisons may rely on when it makes inmate classification, designation, or programming decisions):							
С	□	The record establishes no need for a presentence investigation report pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.							
CC	URT	FINDING ON MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE (Check all that apply.)							
Α		No count of conviction carries a mandatory minimum sentence.							
В		Mandatory minimum sentence imposed.							
С		One or more counts of conviction alleged in the indictment carry a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, but the sentence imposed is below a mandatory minimum term because the court has determined that the mandatory minimum does not apply based on							
		findings of fact in this case							
		substantial assistance (18 U.S.C. § 3553(e))							
		the statutory safety valve (18 U.S.C. § 3553(f))							
cc	URT	DETERMINATION OF ADVISORY GUIDELINE RANGE (BEFORE DEPARTURES):							
		ense Level: 31							
		History Category: VI ment Range: 188 to 235 months							
Su	pervise	ed Release Range: 4 to 5 years							
		ge: \$\$15,000.00 to \$ _\$150,000.00							

DEFENDANT: JAMES JEREMY BRISCOE

CASE NUMBER: C

CR07-00218RSL

DISTRICT:

Western District of Washington

Explain the facts justifying the departure. (Use page 4 if necessary.)

See 4th Page

### STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

					-									
IV	ADVISORY GUIDELINE SENTENCING DETERMINATION (Check only one.)													
	A		The sentence is within an advisory	guide	line rang	e that is not greater than 24 months, a	nd the	court find	ls no reason to depart.					
	В	旦	The sentence is within an advisory: (Use page 4 if necessary.) See 4th Page	guide	aideline range that is greater than 24 months, and the specific sentence is imposed for these reasons.									
C The court departs from the advisory guideline range for reasons authorized by the sentencing guidelines manual.  (Also complete Section V.)														
	D	⊠	The court imposed a sentence outsi	de the	: advisory	sentencing guideline system. (Also co	mplete	Section V	<b>/</b> 1.)					
v	— DEPARTURES AUTHORIZED BY THE ADVISORY SENTENCING GUIDELINES (If applicable.)													
	A The sentence imposed departs (Check only one.):													
			below the advisory guideline range	ge										
		above the advisory guideline range												
	В													
	C	2 3	5K1.1 plea agreeme  5K3.1 plea agreeme  binding plea agreeme  plea agreement for or  plea agreement that  Motion Not Addressed in  5K1.1 government rr  5K3.1 government rr  government motion  defense motion for or  Other	nt ba nt ba ent f depar state n a I notic notic for d depar depar	ised on the sed on I for departure, who is that the sed on based on based departure to whether the sed on the	which the government did not ob- which the government objected notion by the parties for departur	Prog ble defens d che ssistar ack" j	se depart ck reaso: nce program	n(s) below.):					
	4A1.3	3 C	timinal History Inadequacy		5K2.1	Death		5K2.11	Lesser Harm					
	5H1.1	i A	ge	盲	5K2.2	Physical Injury		5K2.12	Coercion and Duress					
	5H1.2	2 E	Education and Vocational Skills Mental and Emotional Condition Physical Condition		5K2.3	Extreme Psychological Injury		5K2.13	Diminished Capacity					
	5 <b>H</b> 1.3				5K2.4	Abduction or Unlawful Restraint		5K2.14	Public Welfare					
	5H1.4				5K2.5	Property Damage or Loss		5K2.16	Voluntary Disclosure of Offense					
	5H1.5		imployment Record		5K2.6	Weapon or Dangerous Weapon		5K2.17	High-Capacity, Semiautomatic Weapon					
	5H1.6		amily Ties and Responsibilities lilitary Record, Charitable Service,		5K2.7	Disruption of Government Function		5K2.18 5K2.20	Violent Street Gang Aberrant Behavior					
ᆜ	JE16.1		ood Works		5K2.8 5 5K2.9	Extreme Conduct	브	5K2.21	Dismissed and Uncharged Conduct					
[]	5K2.0		ggravating or Mitigating Circumstances	井	5K2.10	Criminal Purpose Victim's Conduct		5K2.22	Age or Health of Sex Offenders					
			50 0 1 1 1 5 5 mmg = 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ᆜ			븜		Discharged Terms of Imprisonment					
							믐		ideline basis (e.g., 2B1.1 commentary)					

n

DEFENDANT:

JAMES JEREMY BRISCOE

CASE NUMBER:

CR07-00218RSL

DISTRICT: Western District of Washington

### STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

VI		COURT DETERMINATION FOR SENTENCE OUTSIDE THE ADVISORY GUIDELINE SYSTEM  Check all that apply.)									
	Α	The sentence imposed is (Check only one.):									
		■ below the advisory guideline range									
		above the advisory guideline range									
	В	Sentence imposed pursuant to (Check all that apply.):									
		Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.):  binding plea agreement for a sentence outside the advisory guideline system accepted by the court  plea agreement for a sentence outside the advisory guideline system, which the court finds to be reasonable  plea agreement that states that the government will not oppose a defense motion to the court to sentence outside the advisory guideline system									
		Motion Not Addressed in a Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.):  government motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system  defense motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system to which the government did not object  defense motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system to which the government objected									
		Other  Other than a plea agreement or motion by the parties for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system (Check reason(s) below.):									
	С	C Reason(s) for Sentence Outside the Advisory Guideline System (Check all that apply.)									
		the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1)  to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(A))  are afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(B))									
		to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(B))  to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(C))									
		to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(D))									

#### D Explain the facts justifying a sentence outside the advisory guideline system. (Use page 4 if necessary.)

to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(6))

to provide restitution to any victims of the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(7))

In imposing sentence on this matter, the Court considered the applicable guideline range, as well as the factors listed at 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). A sentence of 144 months is sufficient but not greater than necessary to meet the enumerated goals of sentencing. The Court considered the personal history of the defendant, and the fact that he was 18 years old at the time he committed the first of his two offenses that caused him to be a career offender. The Court was also mindful of the nature of crack cocaine sentencing, even after recent changes to the guidelines manual. A twelve year sentence is one that protects the public, reflects the seriousness of the offense and also allows the defendant to emerge from prison with time left in his life to be a productive member of society and a benefit to his family.

DEFENDANT:

JAMES JEREMY BRISCOE

CASE NUMBER:

CR07-00218RSL

DISTRICT:

Western District of Washington

## STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Not for Public Disclosure)

VII COURT DETERMINATIONS OF RESTITUTION	ON	T	Π	$\Pi$	${\mathbb I} S {\mathbb I}$	RE	F	0	•	N.	OIT	A	IN	M	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}$	Т	DE	Т	JR	Į	CO		٧J	
---	----	---	---	-------	-----------------------------	----	---	---	---	----	-----	---	----	---	------------------------	---	----	---	----	---	----	--	----	--

VII	CO	RT DETERMINATIONS OF RESTITUTION	
	Α	Restitution Not Applicable.	
	В		
	C <sup>°</sup>	Restitution not ordered (Check only one.):	
		For offenses for which restitution is otherwise mandatory under identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable	18 U.S.C. § 3663A, restitution is not ordered because the number of under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A).
		For offenses for which restitution is otherwise mandatory under issues of fact and relating them to the cause or amount of the victim	18 U.S.C. § 3663A, restitution is not ordered because determining complex is losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree led by the burden on the sentencing process under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B).
		For other offenses for which restitution is authorized under 18 l	J.S.C. § 3663 and/or required by the sentencing guidelines, restitution is not
		ordered because the complication and prolongation of the sentencin the need to provide restitution to any victims under 18 U.S.C. § 366	g process resulting from the fashioning of a restitution order outweigh (3(a)(1)(B)(ii).
		Restitution is not ordered for other reasons. (Explain.)	
	D	Partial restitution is ordered for these reasons (18 U.S.C	\$ 3553(a)):
	_	2 Tables residential to decree for these reasons (10 Q.s.c.	. 8 3333(c));
VIII	ADI	TIONAL FACTS JUSTIFYING THE SENTENCE IN THIS	CASE (If applicable.)
		Sections I, II, III, IV, and VII of the Statement of Reasons	s form must be completed in all felony cases.
Defe	ndant	Soc. Sec. No.:	Date of Imposition of Judgment
Defe	ndant	Date of Birth:	December 14, 2007  Mr. Sanki
Defe	ndant	Residence Address:	Signature of Judge
Defe	ndant	Mailing Address:	Robert S. Lasnik, Chief United States District Judge Name and Title of Judge
			Descriptions of the Control of the C